# **CODE APPLICATION NOTICE**

Subject: Engineering Judgments CAN NO. 2-703.3 EFFECTIVE: 5/20/09

#### **CODE SECTIONS**

Section 703.3 and Appendix Chapter 1, Section 104.11 2007 California Building Code (CBC)

# 2007 California Building Code

**703.3 Alternative methods for determining fire resistance.** The application of any of the alternative methods listed in this section shall be based on the fire exposure and acceptance criteria specified in ASTM E 119. The required fire resistance of a building element shall be permitted to be established by any of the following methods or procedures:

- 1. Fire-resistance designs documented in approved sources.
- 2. Prescriptive designs of fire-resistance-rated building elements as prescribed in Section 720.
- 3. Calculations in accordance with Section 721.
- Engineering analysis based on a comparison of building element designs having fire-resistance ratings as determined by the test procedures set forth in ASTM E 119.
- 5. Alternative protection methods as allowed by Section 104.11.

• •

# 104.11 Alternative materials, design and methods of construction and

**equipment.** The provisions of this code are not intended to prevent the installation of any material or to prohibit any design or method of construction not specifically prescribed this code, provided that any such alternative has been approved. An alternative material, design or method of construction shall be approved where the building official finds that the proposed design is satisfactory and complies with the intent of the provisions of this code, and that the material, method or work offered is, for the purpose intended, at least the equivalent of that prescribed in the code in quality, strength, effectiveness, fire resistance, durability and safety.

**104.11.1 Research reports.** Supporting data, where necessary to assist in the approval of materials or assemblies not specifically provided for in this code, shall consist of valid research reports from approved sources.

Page 1 of 3 CAN 2-703.3

## **PURPOSE**

An Engineering Judgment (EJ) is an alternative protection method for determining fire resistance. The purpose of this Code Application Notice is to establish guidelines for the preparation, submittal, and review of Engineering Judgments.

### **BACKGROUND**

All elements of a tested and listed fire-resistive assembly, including the assembly in which the system is installed, constitute a specific and inseparable engineered unit that must be utilized and constructed as such. Fire-resistive systems and designs are tested and listed by independent testing agencies and the specific elements of each design become part of the listing. Beyond the listed systems, there is a need for a means to properly address unanticipated construction configurations that fall outside of the envelope of tested systems. Because such conditions often cannot be redesigned and must not be ignored, the construction industry addresses these types of occurrences through the issuance of Engineering Judgments that recommend alternative methods to ensure performance during fire conditions. Because these recommendations are not based upon identical fire testing of the specific design in question, it is important that they be developed in accordance with sound engineering practice to ensure that life safety and structural integrity concerns are not compromised. Engineering Judgments are not a substitute for tested, listed assemblies; therefore, tested, listed assemblies must be used when they are available for the specific condition(s).

## INTERPRETATION

Engineering Judgments shall be in writing and shall be submitted to the appropriate OSHPD staff member for review. Engineering Judgments shall be prepared using the following guidelines:

- EJs shall be prepared and signed by the manufacturer's qualified technical
  personnel or by a registered Professional Engineer, Fire Protection Engineer, or
  an approved independent testing agency that provides listing services for firestop
  systems in concurrence with the manufacturer.
- Existing tested, listed systems that are comparable in application or cover equivalent conditions shall be used as the basis for EJs.
- When necessary, independent testing laboratories (i.e. UL, OPL, etc.) or empirical evidence from recognized engineering resources, accepted engineering principles, fire science, and fire testing guidelines (e. g. ASTM E 2032 Standard Guide for Extension of Data from Fire Endurance Tests conducted in accordance with ASTM E 119), shall be used to support interpretations and/or interpolations that are used as a basis for the EJ.

Page 2 of 3 CAN 2-703.3

#### State of California

## Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development

- The preparer of an EJ shall be knowledgeable regarding the elements of the construction to be protected, probable behavior of that construction and the recommended system protecting it.
- The EJ shall apply only to the specific conditions and configurations for which it
  was produced and shall be based upon reasonable performance expectations for
  the recommended fire-resistive system for the specific application.
- EJs are approved for a specific condition on a project-by-project basis and shall not be used for another project or condition without thorough and appropriate review of all aspects of the EJ as it relates to that project's circumstances.
- EJs shall be presented in a narrative format that clearly describes all aspects of the design, including, but not limited to the hourly rating required, a complete description of all critical elements for the fire-resistive system configuration, any non-standard conditions, clear directions for the installation of the recommended system and the fire-resistive design(s) that the EJ is based on. Detailed drawings shall be included when deemed necessary to clearly illustrate the assembly.
- EJs shall clearly state that the recommended system is an engineering judgment and is NOT a listed system.
- EJs shall indicate the facility name, address, title of project, OSHPD project number, and include the issuer's name, title, address, telephone number and signature.

An Engineering Judgment submitted for review and approval during plan review shall be documented on the approved plans. Engineering Judgments proposed during construction shall be submitted to the appropriate OSHPD Field Staff in accordance with Title 24, Part 1, Section 7-153(a) "Changes in the Work" in the form of a Change Order, or when appropriate, an Instruction Bulletin.

Original Signed 5/20/09
Paul Coleman Date

Page 3 of 3 CAN 2-703.3