

**OSHPD** Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development**Hospital Building Safety Board**

2020 West El Camino Avenue, Suite 800

Sacramento, CA 95833

(916) 440-8453

(916) 324-9188 Fax

[www.oshpd.ca.gov/Boards/HBSB/index.html](http://www.oshpd.ca.gov/Boards/HBSB/index.html)**HOSPITAL BUILDING SAFETY BOARD  
Codes and Processes Committee****Thursday, March 11, 2021****9:00 a.m. – 3:00 p.m.****Teleconference Meeting Access:  
HBSB GoToMeeting APCCSD Committee  
Access Code: 813-995-821****Committee Members Present:**

Michael O' Connor, Chair

Roy Lopez, Vice-Chair

Louise Belair

Mike Hooper

Scott Jackson

Michele Lampshire

Bruce Macpherson

Jim Malley

**Consulting Members:**

John Donelan

Gary Dunger

Mark Hershberg

**OSHPD Staff:**

Paul Coleman, FDD Deputy Director

Carl Scheuerman, FDD Deputy Division Chief  
(Acting)

Chris Tokas, FDD Deputy Division Chief

Bill Gow

Hayne Kim

Roy Lobo

Dave Mason

Diana Navarro

Diana Scaturro

Richard Tannahill

Nanci Timmins

James Yi, OSHPD Attorney

**HBSB Staff:**

Ken Yu, Executive Director

Joanne Jolls

Evelt Torres

**1. Welcome and Introductions**

2 Michael O' Connor, Chair, called the meeting to order. The Committee members and Office of  
3 Statewide Health Planning and Development (OSHPD) staff introduced themselves. A quorum  
4 was present.

5 Mr. Yu read an announcement regarding COVID-19 and reviewed the meeting conduct.

1 **2. Review and approve the January 14, 2021 draft meeting report/minutes**

2 **Presenter:** Michael O'Connor, Committee Chair

3 **Discussion and public input**

4 Mr. O'Connor gave a summary of the January 14, 2021 meeting.

5 Mr. O'Connor just forward the following motion: To approve the January 14, 2021 meeting  
6 report/minutes.

7 **MOTION:** [O'Connor/Macpherson]

8 The Committee voted to approve the January 14, 2021 meeting report/minutes.

9

10 **Informational and Action Item**

- 11 • None.

12 **3. California Building Standards Code Revision for 2022**

- 13 • Part 1, California Administrative Code  
14 • Part 2, California Building Code, Volume 1 and 2  
15 • Part 10, California Existing Building Code

16 **Presenter:** Richard Tannahill, OSHPD

17 **Discussion and public input**

18 Mr. Macpherson advised staff to revise their slide deck and change 1224 to 1228.

19 Ms. Scaturro asked if Class Three imaging should be included in the definition of Restricted. Mr.  
20 Tannahill believed that Class Three imaging was addressed independently under the definition  
21 of imaging, but he announced he would explore it.

22 Mr. O'Connor appreciated the scope, processes clarification, as well as the definition of where  
23 procedure rooms fall in terms of restricted, semi-restricted and controlled areas. He asked the  
24 reason why California Department of Public Health (CDPH) has stopped dialysis within their  
25 facilities. Mr. Tannahill explained that currently it is not allowed by their statute. Mr. Coleman  
26 clarified that dialysis is allowed in skilled nursing facilities (SNF) but only at the patients'  
27 bedside.

28 Mr. Macpherson put forward the following motion: That the Committee endorse the proposed  
29 amendments to the California Building Standards Code

30 **MOTION:** [Macpherson/Belair]

31 The Committee voted to endorse the proposed amendments to the California Building  
32 Standards Code and forward to the Full Board for adoption.

33 **Informational Item and Action Item**

- 34 • Change 1224 to 1228 – slide 29  
35 • Follow-up if Class Three imaging should be included under the definition of Restricted –  
36 OSHPD Staff

37 **4. Emergency Design Task Force**

- 1 • Update from Emergency Design Task Force to address design and regulatory concerns  
2 for emergencies.

3 **Presenter:** Chris Tokas and Richard Tannahill, OSHPD

4 **Discussion and public input**

5 Ms. Belair asked about the task force’s meeting schedule and Mr. Tannahill stated that the  
6 group meets every two months.

7 **Informational Item and Action Item**

- 8 • None.

9 **5. Committee Goals for 2021**

10 **Presenter:** Michael O’Connor, Committee Chair

11 **Discussion and public input**

12 Mr. O’Connor asked if any of the Committee Members had thoughts on the schedule for the  
13 reconciliation process goal. Mr. Tannahill explained that the goal is to align OSHPD’s Building  
14 Code with CDPH’s Title 22 process.

15 Mr. Macpherson suggested that all of the goals be clarified. Mr. O’Connor confirmed that all the  
16 goals will receive additional clarifying details and be brought back to the next meeting.

17 Mr. Tannahill reported that OSHPD continues to update all Policy Intent Notices (PIN) and Code  
18 Application Notices (CAN) on a regular basis.

19 Ms. Belair asked if the changes to the CANs and PINs had been presented to the Committee.  
20 Mr. Tannahill answered that it was done internally but PIN 50 will be presented to the  
21 Committee at a future meeting. Mr. Tokas stated that new PINs and CANs are fully vetted  
22 through the Committee. Mr. Coleman added that Committee has already approved updates to  
23 PINs and CANs through the code change process.

24 Mr. O’Connor requested a status update on the mental health jurisdiction flowchart. Mr.  
25 Tannahill shared that staff is working on a draft guide for psychiatric facilities and the mental  
26 health jurisdiction flowchart will be included in that guide.

27 Mr. O’Connor asked what the goal is for temporary utilities and Mr. Tannahill shared that it  
28 corresponds with CAN 2-108 which will be available soon.

29 Mr. O’Connor suggested that the goal of evaluating and articulating detailed building standards  
30 for SNFs should be clarified. He predicted that the concept was to develop Standard Details for  
31 SNFs and Mr. Tannahill confirmed that is correct.

32 Mr. Hershberg predicted that any changes to OPD-0001 would be minor when applied to SNFs.  
33 Mr. Beekman specified that importance factors varied from SNF to SNF and in terms of  
34 economies, there may be two different SNF versions. Mr. Hershberg agreed that two SNF  
35 versions would cause confusion. Mr. Macpherson viewed Standard Details as an  
36 accommodation. Mr. Tokas stated that the intent is to focus on wood frames in single-story  
37 SNFs which limits the scope. Mr. Hershberg summarized that fire, life, and safety are a bigger  
38 concern than structural details. Mr. Coleman and Mr. Tokas confirmed that is correct. Mr.

1 Coleman predicted that any Standard Details will help Design Professionals supply a quality  
2 design that is code compliant and can get approved.

3 Mr. O'Connor asked if a guide for light remodels should be drafted for single-story SNFs and Mr.  
4 Tannahill shared that OSHPD already has How-To Guides that address the matter.

5 Mr. O'Connor summarized that next steps are to provide useable details to help Design  
6 Professionals who are designing SNFs.

7 Mr. O'Connor believed that providing OSHPD Pre-approved Details (OPD) for recurring issues  
8 may be helpful for the engineering judgement goal. Ms. Timmins shared that staff can poll the  
9 industry and gather data.

10 Ms. O'Connor asked if there are other OPD items that could be useful. Ms. Belair suggested  
11 flexible connectors with respects to seismic issues. Mr. Coleman shared that has been an issue.

12 Mr. Coleman mentioned that hospitals can have a set of Standard Details specific to their  
13 facility. Mr. Hershberg asked how a hospital would go about receiving those blanket approvals.  
14 Mr. Coleman explained that it would be part of the Structural Support Unit's responsibility. Ms.  
15 Belair suggested that the topic be forwarded to the Education and Outreach Committee to  
16 explore and possibly provide a webinar on.

17 Mr. O'Connor tasked all the Committee Members to share details they found helpful at future  
18 meetings.

19 Mr. Donelan shared that approved details for SNFs may apply to rural hospitals. Mr. O'Connor  
20 confirmed that the life safety details would apply but there are structural difference between a  
21 rural hospital and a SNF.

22 Mr. Donelan asked if coordinating with Facility Guidelines Institute (FGI) would be relevant and  
23 Mr. Coleman confirmed that OSHPD does use FGI as a resource.

24 An interest party stated that they loved the pre-approved details and felt that they are  
25 underutilized. He shared that the California Association of Health Facilities (CAHF) would be  
26 happy to host a webinar on pre-approved details to help spread the word.

27 Ms. Belair asked if there is a need for pre-approved details for modular construction. Ms.  
28 Timmins shared that several companies have submitted some and staff is exploring them. Mr.  
29 Coleman added that OSHPD is discussing including pre-approved manufactured products and  
30 he asked if any Committee Members have feedback on that. Mr. Malley supported the concept.

31 Mr. O'Connor wanted to see a pre-approved cable support detail that is non-proprietary.

32 Mr. O'Connor shared that virtual or offsite inspections are not a substitute for a physical  
33 inspections but an augmentation. Mr. Coleman confirmed that OSHPD is exploring it but the  
34 issue is that there are situations where the statute requires a physical presence of an Testing  
35 Inspection Observation (TIO).

36 Mr. O'Connor asked if there is additional work the Committee can do to support the work on  
37 energy backup systems. Mr. Coleman suggested a Standard Detail of how hospitals can  
38 provide a ready connection for a temporary emergency generator to be connected.

39 **Informational Item and Action Item**

- 1 • Provide additional clarifying details to all goals – Chair and staff
- 2 • Develop Standard Details for SNFs
- 3 • Draft and provide usable details to Design Professionals designing SNFs
- 4 • Draft OPDs for recurring engineering judgement issues
- 5 • Poll the industry and gather data on reoccurring engineering judgment issues – OSHPD
- 6 staff
- 7 • Draft an OPD for flexible connectors with respect to seismic compliance
- 8 • Have a discussion at the Education and Outreach Committee about a future webinar on pre-
- 9 approvals specific to hospitals
- 10 • Collect details that have potential to be helpful to the industry and provide them at the next
- 11 Committee meeting – Committee Members
- 12 • Explore pre-approved details for modular construction and manufactured products
- 13 • Explore a pre-approved cable support detail that is non-proprietary
- 14 • Explore a Standard Detail for how an emergency generator can be connected quickly to a
- 15 facility

16 **6. Comments from the Public/Board Members on issues not on this agenda.**

17 **Presenter:** Michael O'Connor, Committee Chair

18 **Discussion and public input**

19 Ms. Belair proposed that a future topic be a report on the progress of the Microgrid White Paper  
20 implementation.

21 Mr. O'Connor mentioned that the Committee goals will come back with more details and be  
22 presented at the next meeting.

23 **Informational and Action Item**

- 24 • Agendize an update on the Micro Grid White Paper implementation
- 25 • Agendize a discussion on the Committee goals

26 **8. Adjournment**

27 Michael O' Connor, Chair, adjourned the meeting at approximately 10:34 a.m.

This page left intentionally blank.