

2020 West El Camino Avenue, Suite 800 Sacramento, CA 95833 hcai.ca.gov



HOSPITAL BUILDING SAFETY BOARD Instrumentation Committee

Friday, April 15, 2022 10:00 a.m. – 4:00 p.m.

Teleconference Meeting Access:

HBSB GoToMeeting Instrumentation Committee
Access Code: 483-058-017

Committee Members

Marshall Lew, Chair Jim Malley

Consulting Members

Moh Huang Hamid Haddadi Tony Shakal

4

5

HCAI Staff

Arash Altoontash Erol Kalkan Roy Lobo James Yi

HBSB Staff

Ken Yu, Executive Director Evett Torres

1 1. Call to Order and Welcome

- 2 Marshall Lew, Committee Chair, called the meeting to order on April 15, 2022, at 10:00
- 3 a.m., and HCAI Executive Director, Ken Yu called roll.

2. Roll Call and Meeting Advisories/Expectations

- 6 Five members of the Committee present constitute a quorum. There being five present
- 7 at the time of roll, a quorum was established.

1 Mr. Yu read the meeting rules and procedures.

2

3

- 3. Review and approve the draft Jan 27, 2022 meeting report/minutes
- 4 **Presenter:** Marshall Lew, Committee Chair

5 Discussion and Input

- 6 Mr. Huang pointed out an error in the assignments on page five of the January 27, 2022
- 7 meeting report/minutes: Section 4 should be Marshall Lew and Tony Shakal; Section 5
- 8 should be Jim Malley and Hamid Haddadi; and Section 6 should be Bruce Clark and
- 9 Tim McCrink.

10

11

MOTION: [Malley/Shakal]

- 12 The committee voted unanimously to approve the January 27, 2022 meeting
- 13 report/minutes with corrections.

14

15 Informational and Action item

16 • None

17

18

19

- 4. Draft section of the white paper, "The Benefits of Strong-Motion Instrumentation in Hospital Facilities," and integration into a single document
- 20 Presenter: Marshall Lew, Committee Chair

21 **Discussion and Input**

- 22 Mr. Malley stated that there is a need to introduce the white paper report with
- 23 summaries and recommendations. Mr. Naeim suggested that the white paper be a
- technical document that engineers can easily use. Mr. Lew asked if the white paper
- should be a short document with a separate technical companion. Mr. Naeim answered
- yes, that people interested in more details could use the technical sources of the white
- 27 paper.

28

- 29 Mr. Clark disclosed that some information was missing from the white paper. These are
- records of the experience with existing earthquakes. Mr. Clark suggested that Chapter 2
- or Chapter 3 talk about the results and effects of earthquakes in hospitals and how
- 32 HCAI incorporates the information for evaluating hospitals after an earthquake. Mr.
- 33 Kalkan stated that the information about earthquakes and their aftermath on hospitals

- does exist in the instrumentation system but that recent earthquakes have not caused
- 2 very much damage to the hospitals. Mr. Kalkan added that apart from instrumentation,
- information can also be found in the USGS ShakeMap, which helps decide which
- 4 hospital needs to be inspected first. Mr. Lew indicated the importance of including how
- 5 this information has been used.

6

- 7 Mr. Clark asked if HCAI feels that the local data source at an individual hospital is
- 8 helpful. Mr. Lobo answered that the information is helpful and can be used by engineers
- 9 to evaluate the building. Mr. Lew asked how this information can be immediately
- accessed from a hospital. Mr. Haddadi indicated that accessing engineering information
- is doable but done on a case-by-case basis. He recommended that the white paper
- have a systematic way of providing such data and information for rapid response to an
- 13 earthquake.

14

- Mr. Lew asked how the information was being supplied since it is not raw data. Mr.
- Haddadi explained that information is received on different levels of data. The first level
- is a pure observation notification without getting into details. That happens a few
- minutes after an earthquake. After that, there is a strong motion record that goes
- through processing at Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research Center. Mr. Haddadi
- said that all this information is sent as raw data and processed.

21

- 22 Mr. Lew asked, in case of a large earthquake, if there is a need for different servers to
- 23 process all the data. Mr. Haddadi replied that there are different centers according to
- 24 the magnitude of the data, and this way, information is prioritized by which records need
- to be processed first. Mr. Haddadi added that there is a live, real-time data processing
- 26 system that will be in use soon.

27

- 28 Mr. Haddadi explained that there is a process of migrating the strong-motion automated
- 29 recovery and analysis to the cloud system to help prioritize the process in case many
- facilities want to use the system. The system is capable of parallel processing of
- records, so the system does not need one process to end to start another. Mr. Clark
- suggested that the information on HCAI upgrading to a cloud system be included in the
- 33 white paper.

34

- 35 An interested party asked about the realistic rollout of an operational system that can be
- used and deployed in hospitals. He also asked about some of the competitive issues
- and allowed roles of state-funded agencies concerning private companies. Mr. Haddadi
- answered that the state-funded agency does not go into detailed structural health

- studies but rather focuses on observation. The role of the agency is to work on selected
- 2 types of structures. Mr. Malley added that the white paper should focus on the existing
- 3 system and how to integrate new approaches with the new technology. Mr. Clark
- 4 responded that there are guidelines that define what the state agency does. Mr.
- 5 Haddadi stated that there is no competition between private agencies and government-
- 6 funded agencies, in that the state-funded agencies only instrument selected structures.

7

- 8 Mr. Malley asked if HCAI has a formal position in case a hospital wants to put in their
- 9 system data sharing and inspection coordination in the event of an earthquake. Mr.
- Lobo responded that there is currently no such agreement; HCAI only does the rapid
- 11 evaluation.

12

- 13 Mr. Clark suggested that the list of instrumented hospital buildings, Table 1, be added to
- the white paper.

15

- Mr. Naeim opined that Section 2 of the white paper be separated into two: one intended
- for the general public and the other for the technical document. In Section 3, Mr. Lobo
- suggested adding information on how instrumentation was used in the past. Mr. Lobo
- asked about the meaning of the second paragraph in Section 5. Mr. Lew stated that the
- idea is to have other types of instruments in buildings and make sure the number of
- 21 sensors is appropriate for that building.

22

- In Section 5, Mr. Haddadi suggested changing the wording on the topic. Mr. Lew
- indicated that all names be generalized because the white paper is not about specific
- 25 facilities, but rather, facilities in general.

26

- 27 In Section 6, Mr. Naiem expressed that some of the information be used in the
- introductory part of the white paper. Mr. Clark agreed and added that it should focus
- 29 more on the decision-makers than the scientific community.

30

- Mr. Lew suggested that he and Mr. Naeim take different sections and work on the first
- cut that will be the targeted white paper, then work on the contents that will go in the
- technical part of the document. Mr. Naeim agreed and added that Section 5 needs
- changes to the language; it should be revised and sent back before putting the white
- 35 paper together.

36

37

Informational and Action item

 None. 1 2 5. Comments from the public/committee members on issues not on this agenda 3 Presenter: Marshall Lew, Committee Chair 4 Having concluded the meeting, Mr. Lew expressed that it was productive and thanked 5 everyone for their participation. 6 7 **Discussion and Input** 8 9 None. 10 6. Adjournment 11

Mr. Lew adjourned the meeting on April 15, 2022, at approximately 12:24 p.m.

12

13