

Hospital Instrumentation Webinar Presentation Outline

(DRAFT)

Presentation objectives:

- Highlight the importance of pre-established post-earthquake response plans that empower onsite staff to make informed decisions in the critical period before outside engineers arrive.
- Illustrate how seismic instrumentation serves as a decision-support tool within a comprehensive post-earthquake response plan, helping hospitals prioritize inspections, allocate resources, and maintain safe operations.
- Encourage broader adoption of seismic instrumentation by addressing outdated assumptions about technology, cost, implementation, and the role of instrumentation in post-earthquake decision-making.

Working title for consideration

Healthcare Facility Seismic Instrumentation: A Tool for Better Post-Earthquake Decision Making

(Using seismic instrumentation to improve hospital response, maintain operations, and support informed decisions following earthquakes)

DRAFT OUTLINE

- 1) Introduction – why this matters
 - a) Provide an opening scenario (an earthquake has just occurred)
 - b) How do you decide whether to continue operations, shelter in place, evacuate patients, send engineers to inspect one building versus ten?
- 2) Why Immediate Decisions Matter
 - a) Challenges after an earthquake such as:
 - i) Every hospital must make immediate operation decisions after an earthquake
 - ii) Inspection engineers may not arrive for some time
 - iii) Hospital staff must make initial decisions with limited information
 - iv) Unnecessary evacuation can itself create serious patient risk
 - v) Delayed action can also increase risk
 - vi) Hospitals must balance safety with continued operations
 - b) Examples may be provided such as the following that have been noted in the white paper or during previous subcommittee meetings:
 - i) Hospital in Turkey
 - ii) Ridgecrest evacuation
 - iii) Northridge experiences
- 3) Why Traditional Assessment Isn't Enough

- a) Earthquake > Visual Observations > Wait for Inspection > Building Inspections > Operational Decisions
- b) Challenges include the time required, limited engineering resources post-earthquake, multiple buildings, regional impacts, uncertainty
- c) What if facility staff had meaningful information immediately and were trained how to respond?
- 4) What Seismic Instrumentation Provides
 - a) Can tell us:
 - i) How much the building actually shook
 - ii) How the building responded
 - iii) Whether the response was consistent with expected performance
 - iv) Which buildings deserve immediate attention
- 5) Instrumentation Within a Response Plan
 - a) Instrumentation is one tool and does not replace emergency response plans, engineering judgement, inspections, HCAI requirements
 - b) Earthquake > Instrumentation reports building response > Hospital activates response plan > Facility staff perform predefined actions > Post-earthquake inspection engineers validate and expand assessment
 - c) Instrumentation is valuable because it informs an already established response plan
- 6) Planning Before the Earthquake
 - a) Develop response protocols before an earthquake occurs
 - i) Who receives alerts?
 - ii) Who reviews alerts?
 - iii) Who makes operational decisions?
 - iv) When are engineers contacted?
 - v) What actions correspond to different alert levels?
 - b) Each healthcare facility develops its own response thresholds specific to the structure and administrative needs
 - c) Example response protocols to illustrate that training of onsite staff can focus on implementation of the protocols, rather than requiring engineering judgement to assess conditions and make decisions
 - i) Green = continue operations, conduct routine visual assessment
 - ii) Yellow = activate response team, prioritize engineering evaluation for the site, visually assess selected systems
 - iii) Red = immediate inspection engineer prioritization, consider operational restrictions, follow evacuation protocols if warranted
- 7) Practical Applications
 - a) For individual hospitals, instrumentation helps answer the following questions:
 - i) Is the building likely safe?
 - ii) Should inspections be prioritized?
 - iii) Should critical systems be checked?
 - iv) Can services continue?
 - b) For multi-building campuses or healthcare systems with multiple campuses, instrumentation can help determine:

- i) Where to prioritize inspections
 - ii) Where to allocate emergency resources
 - iii) Which facilities likely remain operational
- 8) Addressing Common Misconceptions
 - a) Instrumentation replaces engineers – instrumentation is a tool that can help engineers respond more efficiently
 - b) Instrumentation makes decisions automatically – people still make the decisions, but instrumentation improves the information available so better-informed decisions can be made
 - c) Instrumentation is only useful for research level details – modern systems can provide near real-time information for emergency response while also supporting long-term improvements to building design
 - d) Instrumentation is prohibitively expensive – technology has advanced considerably, today there are many approaches available that include lower cost sensor technologies and scalable systems
 - e) Hospitals must wait for inspection engineers before taking any action – hospitals should have a response plan that empowers trained onsite personnel to make informed initial decisions until engineering support arrives
- 9) Examples of Current Technology
 - a) Brief examples illustrating the accessibility of immediate post-earthquake data with available technology
 - i) Traditional strong-motion instrumentation
 - ii) MEMS sensors
 - iii) Cloud based systems
 - iv) Building dashboards
 - v) Mobile notifications
- 10) Closing
 - a) Every hospital should have a post-earthquake response plan
 - b) Instrumentation strengthens that plan by providing rapid, building-specific information before inspection engineers arrive
 - c) The goal is not simply collecting data, but to make better decisions that protect patients, staff, and hospital operations