

Primary Care Residency (PCR) Scoring and Evaluation

Department of Health Care Access and Information July 2023

Objectives

The Song-Brown PCR program evaluates applications based on several criteria. This Scoring and Evaluation guide provides detail on the scoring methodology for **four** of the most questioned criteria*:

Evaluation criteria scored on severity of unmet need:

- I.1 Clinical training sites in areas of unmet need.
- I.3 Graduates in areas of unmet need.

Evaluation criteria scored in two parts and with a bell curve:

- I.2 Percent and number of graduates underrepresented in medicine.
- II.2 Percent and number of graduates in primary care ambulatory settings five years' post residency.

*Please refer to Attachments A and B in the PCR Grant Guide for Scoring Criteria explanation.



Defining Severity of Unmet Need

•Each training site and graduate placement receives a severity value depending on its location or facility type.

•The highest value receives a score when a site or graduate has more than one value [e.g., is in a Health Professional Shortage Area (HPSA) and a Primary Care Shortage Area (PCSA)].

Severity	HPSA Scores (1-25)	PCSA Scores (5-10)	Site Designation
0	No	No	No
1	1 - 5	5	
2	6 - 9	6	
3	10 - 13	7	Yes
4	14 - 17	8	
5	18+	9+	



HPSA and PCSA Scores Website

dress C	oordinates	14500 0	lympic (Dr, Clearla	ke, CA 95422						
	YMPIC DR, 52472,-122.6				P						/
MSSA ID	MSSA Defi	inition	Censu	is Tract	Census Ke	ey.	County	MUA	MUP	PCSA	RNSA
71.1	Rural		7.01		06033000	701	Lake	No	No	Yes (6	5) Yes (High)
HPSA Pri	imary Care			HPSA M	ental Health			HPSA	Dental	Health	
HPSA So	A Source Id 1063761828		328	HPSA Source Id		7065	297125	HPSA Source Id		e Id	6068920450
Designa	ignated Yes			Designated		Yes		Desig	gnated		Yes
Designa	Designated on 3/14/2017		7	Design	ated on	12/3	/2015	Desig	gnated	on	8/28/2020
Formal Ratio 3902:1			Formal Ratio				Form	al Rati	0	36312:1	
Population Below 34.9 Porverty (%)		Population Below Porverty (%)		24.3		10000	lation erty (%		29.9		
0	0		Desgination Population		31800.0			ination		16569.0	
Estimat Underse Populat	erved	5177		Estima Unders Popula	erved	3180	00	Unde	nated erserve llation	d	14744
Estimat Populat	ed Served	17220		Estima Popula	ted Served tion	0			nated S lation	erved	1825
Priority	Score	12		Priority	Score	20		Prior	ity Sco	re	20

Find HPSA and PCSA scores on the HCAI geo web page at <u>https://geo.hcai.ca.gov/hp</u> <u>sa-search</u>



Training Sites Severity Index

• An applicant's **training site severity index** is the weighted average (by hours) of the severity of its training sites in areas of unmet need.

Training Site Index Example:

Severity Level	0	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Calculation:	Severity:
Total Resident Hours			800	200			1,000	(800 x 2) + (200 x 3) 1000	= 2.2



Training Sites Scoring Rubric

- A program's training site severity index corresponds with a score.
- Example: Program X's training site severity index is 2.2 which resulted in a score of 12 :

Training Site Severity Index	Score
0	0
1	10
2	12
3	14
4	18
5	20



Graduates Severity Index

• An applicant's **graduate severity index** is the weighted average (by number of graduates) of the severity of its graduates in areas of unmet need.

Severity Level	0	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Calculation: (7 x 1) + (3 x 2)	Severity:
Total Graduates		7	3				10	10	= 1.3



Graduates Scoring Rubric

- A program's graduate severity index corresponds with a score.
- Example: Program X's graduate severity index is 1.3 which resulted in a score of 10:

Graduate Severity Index	Score
0 - 0.49	0
0.50 - 0.99	8
1.00 - 1.49	10
1.50 - 1.99	12
2.00 - 2.99	16
3+	20



Evaluation Criteria scored on Percent and Number

The following evaluation criteria are scored in two parts and with a bell curve:

- I.2 Percent and number of graduates underrepresented in medicine.
- II.2 Percent and number of graduates in primary care ambulatory settings five years' post residency.

Scoring of these criteria consists of two parts:

- Part 1: **Percent** (*Ex: Percent of graduates underrepresented in medicine*)
 - Predetermined point system.
- Part 2: Number (Ex: Number of graduates underrepresented in medicine)
 - Scored on a bell curve.



Application Evaluation Criteria: Scoring Methodology Part 1: Percent

- Scoring Methodology Part 1: Predetermined point system.
 - The range of percentages the program falls within determines points for Percentage.

0000			
Percentage	Points	Percentage	Points
<1%	0	<1%	0
1-16 %	2	1-16.99 %	1.5
17-33%	4	17-33.99%	3
34-50.99%	6	34-50.99%	4.5
51-67.99%	8	51-67.99%	6
68-84.99%	10	68-84.99%	7.5
85-100%	12	85-100%	9



Section II.2



Application Evaluation Criteria: Scoring Methodology Part 1: Percent

Program X Example:

- The program has 58 percent of graduates underrepresented in medicine.
- The percentage methodology shows that the program will receive 8 points.

Percentage	Points
<1%	0
1-16 %	2
17-33%	4
34-50.99%	6
51-67.99%	8
68-84.99%	10
85-100%	12

Section I.2



Application Evaluation Criteria: Scoring Methodology Part 2: Number

- The program's placement in a normal distribution determines the points.
- *Example*: Program X has 15 graduates underrepresented in medicine. According to the curve, the program will receive five points.



Application Evaluation Criteria: Scoring Methodology Total Score:

- Scoring Methodology Total Score: Combined Scores of Part 1 and Part 2.
 - Combine the individual Part 1 and Part 2 scores to determine the applicant's total score.
 - For example, Program X received 8 points for Part 1 and 5 points for Part 2, the program will receive a score of 13 points.





Thank you!

For more information, please contact <u>SongBrown@hcai.ca.gov</u>

